Does Vision Therapy Work? The Wrong Question: A Perspective 'the power of a lollipop'

Kenneth J. Ciuffreda, OD, PhD, FCOVD-A, FARVO, FAAO

Diana P. Ludlam, BS, COVT

Naveen K. Yadav, BS Optom, MS, PhD, FAAO

When the first author was a thirdyear student at the Massachusetts College of Optometry in 1972, he had a short and simple, but lifechanging, conversation. While sitting outdoors on the steps after our ocular disease class, the instructor, a very bright ophthalmologist, joined me for a chat. The topic of "vision therapy" came up. I knew very little, and he even less. He asked, "Does vision therapy work?". I replied a confidant, "Yes." He was somewhat sympathetic but responded, "Maybe give the child a lollipop instead." The

Correspondence regarding this article should be emailed to Kenneth J. Cuiffreda, OD, PhD, at <u>kciuffreda@sunyopt.edu</u>. All statements are the author's personal opinion and may not reflect the opinions of the College of Optometrists in Vision Development, Vision Development & Rehabilitation or any institution or organization to which the author may be affiliated. Permission to use reprints of this article must be obtained from the editor. Copyright 2016 College of Optometrists in Vision Development. VDR is indexed in the Directory of Open Access Journals. Online access is available at <u>www.covd.org</u>.

Ciuffreda K, Ludlam D, Yadav N. Does vision therapy work? The wrong question: A perspective. Vision Dev & Rehab 2016;2(2):101-4.

Keywords: accommodation, mild traumatic brain injury, motor learning, near work, perceptual learning, vision therapy, visual-evoked potentials

101

implication was that the added attention and positive reinforcement given to the student by the optometrist/vision therapist, the classroom teacher, the parents, and others, provided the basis for the 'improvement', in reality a placebo effect. That conversation remained in the back of my mind. It had a big impact a few years later---our graduate research laboratory at Berkeley published the first study demonstrating objectively that 'vision therapy works' in a small cohort of optometry students with accommodative insufficiency and slowed accommodative dynamics.¹ This was confirmed in two subsequent laboratory studies.^{2,3} That was the beginning for me — I was hooked!. Over the years, we, and others, have used a variety of approaches, including objective techniques,⁴⁻¹² clinical trials,^{13,14} retrospective analysis,^{15,16} meta-analysis,^{17,18} and well-documented case reports and case series¹⁹⁻²² to demonstrate the efficacy of vision therapy over a range of diagnostic groups, such as traumatic brain injury, convergence insufficiency, amblyopia, and others. Thus, over the past nearly 100 years, our profession, and particularly the area of vision therapy, has evolved from the early days of clinical anecdotes and philosophizing at regional meetings to objective, physiological evidence for direct vision therapy-related brain changes.

Related to the above anecdote, there are THREE critical questions that one may ask:

First, "Does vision therapy work?" Unfortunately, that is now the wrong question. It is passé. This is asked by an 'uninformed' individual. The response, then and now over 40 years later, remains a resounding, "Yes!" This reply is now based on the positive results of a wealth of studies, some of which are cited in this perspective.

Second, "How does vision therapy work?" That is, what are the underlying mechanisms? This is an appropriate

question. Most/all of what we do in vision therapy broadly encompasses the area of psychology referred to as 'perceptual and motor learning'. Perceptual learning was likely first enunciated by Gibson and Gibson (1955).²³ That is, if one practices some perceptual (sensory) task repeatedly, one will improve substantially (30% or more) in the task. The optometric analog would be a blur discrimination task during lens sorting in amblyopia. More recently, perceptual learning has come into the forefront in the area of amblyopia therapy as an 'explanation' to describe what occurs in parts of the overall therapeutic program.²⁴ Motor learning was most likely first enunciated by Bryan and Harter (1897)²⁵ in the context of learning Morse code manually. The optometric analog would be eye movement training, eyehand visuomotor training, etc. Thus, the perceptual/sensory and motor areas in the general psychology area of 'learning' provide the scientific basis for what we do in much of vision therapy.^{24,26}

Third, "What are the underlying neural substrates that are positively impacted by our perceptual and motor-learning-based vision therapy?" This too is an appropriate, and critical, question in the trilogy. Again, there is considerable and growing indirect and direct evidence of support. This comes from two lines of investigation: (1) objective techniques assessing positive changes in the specific system response per se, such as found over the past decade for both eye movements²⁷⁻²⁹ and accommodation³⁰ in mild traumatic brain injury. Based on the changes found, one can infer the positively impacted neural control centers/substrates. (2) objective techniques directly assessing positive changes in brain responsivity, such as by the visually-evoked potentials (VEP)¹² and brain imaging.⁷ These two approaches will likely continue in the near future, for

example in the areas of brain injury and also convergence insufficiency.

So, the next time someone asks the question, "Does vision therapy work?" give them a lollipop whose "flavor" is information, and let them relax and savor the evidence provided in this short missive.

Acknowledgement:

We thank Dr. I.B. Suchoff for his insightful comments.

REFERENCES

- Liu JS, Lee M, Jang J, Ciuffreda KJ, Wong JH, Grisham D, Stark L. Objective assessment of accommodation orthoptics. I. Dynamic insufficiency. Am J Optom Physiol Opt 1979; 56: 285-294.
- 2. Bobier WR, Sivak JG. Orthoptic treatment of subjects showing slow accommodative responses. Am J Optom Physiol Opt 1983; 60: 678-687.
- 3. Lovasik J V, Wiggins R. Cortical indices of impaired ocular accommodation and associated convergence mechanisms. Am J Optom Physiol Opt 1984; 61: 150-159.
- 4. Ciuffreda KJ, Ordonez X. Vision therapy to reduce abnormal nearwork-induced transient myopia. Optom Vis Sci 1998; 75: 311-315.
- 5. Vasudevan B, Ciuffreda KJ, Ludlam DP. Accommodative training to reduce nearwork-induced transient myopia. Optom Vis Sci 2009; 86: 1287-1294.
- Ciuffreda KJ, Goldrich SG, Neary C. Use of eye movement auditory biofeedback in the control of nystagmus. Am J Optom Physiol Opt 1982; 59: 396-409.
- Alvarez TL, Vicci VR, Alkan Y, Kim EH, Gohel S, Barrett AM, Chiaravalloti N, Biswal BB. Vision therapy in adults with convergence insufficiency: clinical and functional magnetic resonance imaging measures. Optom Vis Sci 2010; 87: 985-1002.
- 8. Kelly JP, Tarczy-Hornoch K, Herlihy E, Weiss AH. Occlusion therapy improves phase-alignment of the cortical response in amblyopia. Vision Res 2015; 114: 142-150.
- 9. Esposito Veneruso P, Ziccardi L, Magli G, Falsini B, Magli A. Short-term effects of vision trainer rehabilitation in patients affected by anisometropic amblyopia: electrofunctional evaluation. Doc Ophthalmol 2014; 129: 177-189.
- Algaze A, Leguire LE, Roberts C, Ibinson JW, Lewis JR, Rogers G. The effects of L-dopa on the functional magnetic resonance imaging response of patients with amblyopia: a pilot study. J AAPOS 2005; 9: 216-223.
- 11. Thiagarajan P, Ciuffreda KJ, Capo-Aponte JE, Ludlam DP, Kapoor N. Oculomotor neurorehabilitation for reading in mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI): an integrative approach. NeuroRehabil 2014; 34: 129-146.



- 12. Yadav NK, Thiagarajan P, Ciuffreda KJ. Effect of oculomotor vision rehabilitation on the visual-evoked potential and visual attention in mild traumatic brain injury. Brain Inj 2014; 28: 922-929.
- Scheiman M, Mitchell GL, Cotter S, Cooper J, Kulp M et al. A randomized clinical trial of treatments for convergence insufficiency in children. Arch Ophthalmol 2005; 123: 14-24.
- 14. Scheiman M. Cotter S, Kulp MT, Mitchell GL, Cooper J et al. Treatment of accommodative dysfunction in children: results from a randomized clinical trial. Optom Vis Sci 2011; 88: 1343-1352.
- Ciuffreda KJ, Rutner D, Kapoor N, Suchoff IB, Craig S, Han ME. Vision therapy for oculomotor dysfunction in acquired brain injury: a retrospective analysis. Optometry 2008; 79: 18-22.
- 16. Bansal S, Han E., Ciuffreda KJ. Use of yoked prisms in patients with acquired brain injury: a retrospective analysis. Brain Inj 2014; 28: 1441-1446.
- 17. Ciuffreda KJ. The scientific basis for and efficacy of optometric vision therapy in nonstrabismic accommodative and vergence disorders. Optometry 2002; 73: 735-762.
- 18. Ciuffreda KJ, Goldrich SG. Oculomotor biofeedback therapy. Int Rehabil Med 1983; 5: 111-117.
- 19. Selenow A, Ciuffreda KJ. Vision function recovery during orthoptic therapy in an exotropic amblyope with high unilateral myopia. Am J Optom Physiol Opt 1983; 60: 659-666.
- Ciuffreda KJ, Kenyon RV, Stark L. Different rates of functional recovery of eye movements during orthoptics treatment in an adult amblyope. Invest Ophthamol Vis Sci 1979; 18: 213-219.
- Kapoor N, Ciuffreda KJ, Han Y. Oculomotor rehabilitation in acquired brain injury: a case series. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2004; 85: 1667-1678.
- Laria C, Pinero DP. Evaluation of binocular vision therapy efficacy by 3D video-oculography measurement of binocular alignment and motility. Binocul Vis Strabolog Q 2013; 28: 136-145.

- 23. Gibson JJ, Gibson EJ. Perceptual learning: differentiation or enrichment? Psychol Rev 1955; 62: 32-41.
- 24. Zhai J, Chen M, Liu L et al. Perceptual learning treatment in patients with anisometropic amblyopia: a neuroimaging study. Br J Ophthalmol 2013; 97: 1420-1424.
- 25. Adams JA. Historical review and appraisal of research on the learning, retention, and transfer of human motor skills. Psychol Bull 1987; 101: 41-74.
- 26. Schaadt AK, Schmidt L, Reinhart S et al. Perceptual relearning of binocular fusion and stereoacuity after brain injury. Neurorehabil Neural Repair 2013; 27: 462-471.
- 27. Ciuffreda KJ, Han Y, Kapoor N, Ficarra AP. Oculomotor rehabilitation for reading in acquired brain injury. NeuroRehabil 2006; 21: 9-21.
- 28. Thiagarajan P, Ciuffreda KJ. Effect of oculomotor rehabilitation on vergence responsivity in mild traumatic brain injury. J Rehabil Res Dev 2013; 50: 1223-1240.
- 29. Thiagarajan P, Ciuffreda KJ. Versional eye tracking in mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI): effects of oculomotor training (OMT). Brain Inj 2014; 28: 930-943.
- 30. Thiagarajan P, Ciuffreda KJ. Effect of oculomotor rehabilitation on accommodative responsivity in mild traumatic brain injury. J Rehabil Res Dev 2014; 51:175-191.



AUTHOR BIOGRAPHY: Kenneth J. Ciuffreda, OD, PhD New York, New York

- OD 1973, Massachusetts College of Optometry
- PhD 1977, Physiological Optics University of California at Berkeley School of Optometry
- Distinguished Teaching Professor SUNY, State College of Optometry
- Research Diplomate in Binocular Vision American Academy of Optometry

103